UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,535	06/15/2006	Andrei V. Belikov	0032.0007US1	7647
29127 HOUSTON EL	7590 07/08/201 ¹ ISEEVA	EXAMINER		
420 BEDFORD ST SUITE 155 LEXINGTON, MA 02420			NELSON, MATTHEW M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			-		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/596,535	BELIKOV ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Matthew M. Nelson	3732		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire. The riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Q</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. Dwance except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 62-67,75-85,87 and 89-96 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 62-67,75-85,87 and 89-96 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serrection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/15/2009</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed on 2/8/2010 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claim 91 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 91 recites the limitation "the compound" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 62-66, 75-77, 79-83, 90-91, 94-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Auge, II (US 2002/0022846).
- 7. Auge shows a method of hard tissue modification comprising forming a porous layer of the hard tissue, by using an acid for instance, ([0022]) and then selectively heating (end of [0047]) a porous layer of the hard tissue to a temperature higher than a

Art Unit: 3732

melting temperature of hard tissue but less than 2000 degrees Celsius to cause the porous layer to fuse (i.e. [0047], [0051]), wherein the heating is done by a pulsed laser (electromagnetic energy across the entire spectrum may be used; [0047], [0056]). The thickness of the porous layer is dependent on the bone size and area of fusion. With respect to claims 75, 80, 94, impregnating a porous layer of the hard tissue with particles that may have a fluidity temperature about the same as or higher than a melting temperature of the porous layer ([0049], [0051]; same materials as claimed are used and therefore would have respective melting temperatures). The particles may be inorganic, crystal, ceramic, glass, or their mixture ([0046], [0049]).

- 8. Claims 87, 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Evans et al. (US 5,104,319).
- 9. Evans shows a method of hard tissue modification comprising filling the porous layer of hard tissue (tooth) with a fluidified material preheated above at least its fluidity temperature (col. 4, lines 8-14), wherein the fluidified material is glass, crystal, or ceramic, and letting the fluidified material cool and solidify in the porous layer. With respect to claim 89, the surface may first be impregnated by particles having a fluidity temperature higher than a melting temperature of the hard tissue and preheated material (col. 3, lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 67, 78, 84-85, 92-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Auge.
- 12. Auge discloses the device as previously described above, but fails to show cooling the porous layer with a cooling fluid and the inorganic particles are Na2O-Al2O3-SiO2, Ca(PO3), CaF2, Ca10(PO4)6(OH)2, or Ca10(PO4)6F2.
- 13. It is well known in the art to use a cooling fluid to cool down materials when desired therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Auge by including a cooling fluid such as water in order to effectively bring down the temperature of the fused site. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have the inorganic particles be Na2O-Al2O3-SiO2, Ca(PO3), CaF2, Ca10(PO4)6(OH)2, Ca10(PO4)6F2, quartz, diamond, sapphire, topaz, amethyst, zircon, agate, granite, spinel, fianite, tanzanite, or tourmaline and the glass be quartz or sitall since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Art Unit: 3732

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 62-67, 75-85, 87, 89-96 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew M. Nelson whose telephone number is (571) 270-5898. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm EDT.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MMN/

/Cris L. Rodriguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732